Research on Student Learning

Upper elementary- and middle-school students may not understand experimentation as a method of testing ideas, but rather as a method of trying things out or producing a desired outcome. ^[1] With adequate instruction, it is possible to have middle school students understand that experimentation is guided by particular ideas and questions and that experiments are tests of ideas. ^[2] Whether it is possible for younger students to achieve this understanding needs further investigation. ^[3] When engaged in experimentation, students have difficulty interpreting covariation and noncovariation evidence. ^[4] For example, students tend to make a causal inference based on a single concurrence of antecedent and outcome or have difficulty understanding the distinction between a variable having no effect and a variable having an opposite effect. ^[5] Upper elementary-school students can reject a proposed experimental test where a factor whose effect is intuitively obvious is uncontrolled, at the level of "that's not fair". ^[6] "Fairness" develops as an intuitive principle as early as 7 to 8 years of age and provides a sound basis for understanding experimental design. This intuition does not, however, develop spontaneously into a clear, generally applicable procedure for planning experiments. ^[7] Although young children have a sense of what it means to run a fair test, they frequently cannot identify all of the important variables, and they are more likely to control those variables that they believe will affect the result. Accordingly, student familiarity with the topic of the given experiment influences the likelihood that they will control variables. ^[8] After specially designed instruction, students in 8th grade are able to call attention to inadequate data resulting from lack of controls. ^[9]

References

[1] Carey, S., Evans, R., Honda, M., Jay, E., Unger, C. (1989). An experiment is when you try it and see if it works: A study of grade 7 students' understanding of the construction of scientific knowledge. *International Journal of Science Education*, 11, 514-549.

Schauble, L., Klopfer, L.E., Raghavan, K. (1991). Students' transition from an engineering model to a science model of experimentation. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 28, 859-882.

Solomon, J. (1992). Images of physics: How students are influenced by social aspects of science. In Duit, R. (Ed.), *Research in physics learning: Theoretical issues and empirical studies* (pp. 141-154).

[2] Carey, S., Evans, R., Honda, M., Jay, E., Unger, C. (1989). An experiment is when you try it and see if it works: A study of grade 7 students' understanding of the construction of scientific knowledge. *International Journal of Science Education*, 11, 514-549.

Solomon, J. (1992). Images of physics: How students are influenced by social aspects of science. In Duit, R. (Ed.), *Research in physics learning: Theoretical issues and empirical studies* (pp. 141-154).

[3] American Association for the Advancement of Science, Project 2061 (2001). *Atlas for Science Literacy*, 18.

[4] Kuhn, D., Amsel, E., O'Loughlin, M. (1988). *The development of scientific thinking skills*. Academic Press.

[5] American Association for the

Advancement of Science, Project 2061 (2001). Atlas for Science Literacy, 18.

[6] Shayer, M., Adey, P. (1981). *Towards a science of science teaching*.

[7] Wollman, W. (1977). Controlling variables: Assessing levels of understanding. *Science Education*, 61, 371-383.

Wollman, W. (1977). Controlling variables: A neo-Piagetian developmental sequence. *Science Education*, 61, 385-391.

Wollman, W., Lawson, A. (1977). Teaching the procedure of controlled experimentation: A Piagetian approach. *Science Education*, 61, 57-70.

[8] Linn, M., Swiney, J. (1981). Individual differences in formal thought: Role of cognitions and aptitudes. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 73, 274-286.

Linn, M., Clement, C., Pulos, S. (1983). Is it formal if it's not physics? The influence of content on formal reasoning. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 20, 755-776.

[9] Rowell, J., Dawson, C. (1984). Controlling variables: Testing a programme for teaching a general solution strategy. *Research in Science and Technological Education*, 2, 37-46.

Ross, J.A. (1988). Controlling variables: A meta-analysis of training studies. *Review of Educational Research*, 58, 405-457.